**REPORT FOR:** 

# OVERVIEW AND SCRUTINY COMMITTEE

Date of Meeting:	12 June 2012
Subject: Responsible Officer:	Revised Proposed West London Waste Plan: Pre-Submission Consultation document Andrew Trehern, Corporate Director Place Shaping
Scrutiny Lead Member area:	Environment and Enterprise
Exempt:	Νο
Enclosures:	Appendix A – Revised Proposed West London Waste Plan: Pre-Submission Consultation document (Due to the size of this document it has been circulated to Members of the Committee only and can be viewed on the Council's website)

### **Section 1 – Summary and Recommendations**

This report follows a previous report to the Overview and Scrutiny Committee which considered and recommended that Cabinet approve the proposed West London Waste Plan: Pre-Submission Consultation document subject to amendments. Following discussions between the partner boroughs, this report seeks agreement to a revised site designation to Harrow's Depot site that overcomes the Council's concerns to the policy wording of the draft Plan.

#### **Recommendations:**

The Committee is requested to:

1. Consider and comment on the revised West London Waste Plan: Pre-Submission Consultation document (attached at Appendix A) including the revision to the Harrow Council depot site designation.

2. Note that the revised West London Waste Plan: Pre-Submission

Consultation document will be recommended to Cabinet and the Full Council for an eight-week period of public consultation..

#### Reason: (For recommendation)

To enable the Council to make meaningful progress on the West London Waste Plan (WLWP) in order to meet targets set out in the London Plan 2011 and Planning Policy Statement 10, which is still extant.

The WLWP will in due course provide an up-to-date policy framework to assess planning applications for waste management facilities across the six West London boroughs: Brent, Ealing, Harrow, Hillingdon, Hounslow and Richmond upon Thames. Planning applications for waste management facilities will also be assessed by each borough against their individual Local Plans, including local development management policies and any other material considerations.

# **Section 2 – Report**

The proposed West London Waste Plan: Pre-Submission Consultation document was reported to this Committee, and subsequently to Cabinet, in December 2011. Cabinet recommended the document be approved for public consultation subject to amendments being made to ensure that any proposal by the Council to improve, enhance or intensify the depot site for depot uses would not be considered a departure from the Plan.

A meeting of the WLWP Steering Group, which comprises both officers and members, was then held on 24 January 2012 to discuss finalisation of the draft Plan. Whilst agreement was reached by the six Councils on the majority of the content, Harrow's amendments were not agreed.

The key objections to Harrow's amendments where that the changes were considered more than minor, and therefore unable to be dealt with through delegated authority; and that to allocate rather than safeguard the three new proposed waste sites could result in an under-provision of land required to meet West London's waste appointment target, and therefore could impact on the soundness of the Plan.

Given that the Plan cannot proceed to the public consultation unless the same version is agreed by all the boroughs, discussions continued to take place between the Councils to seek an amicable way forward. This cumulated in a further meeting of the WLWP Steering Group on 11 May 2012, where it was agreed that an amendment to the Harrow Depot site designation would overcome Harrow's outstanding concerns and avoid the need to amend the policies. The suggestion is therefore, that the boroughs agree to a revision to the site boundary of the Council Depot site at Forward Drive to reduce the area to be safeguarded for waste management.

#### **Proposed Amendment to the Depot Site Designation**

West London needs to identify a maximum of 22.4 ha of land for waste management facilities to ensure that the 2011 London Plan apportionment is

met. An additional amount of land (i.e. a contingency) is also required to ensure some flexibility in the event that identified sites do not come forward.

The proposed Pre-Submission Consultation document, previously approved by Cabinet, identified some 28.54 hectares of land for waste management. This included eight existing waste sites totalling 19.39 hectares and three new sites, including the Council Depot site, totalling 9.15 hectares. This therefore demonstrated sufficient land to meet the 2011 London Plan apportionment requirements.

Re-appraisal of the existing and new waste sites has been undertaken by the boroughs as part of work for the Sustainability Appraisal accompanying the proposed Pre-Submission Consultation document. The revised designation to the Council Depot site will result in a reduced site area being proposed for waste management from 2.83 hectares to 1.83 hectares. Overall, this will reduce the total site area identified in the WLWP from 28.54 hectares to 27.54 hectares. Whilst this demonstrates that the proposed West London Waste Plan still provides for a surplus in the land required to meet West London's appointment target, more importantly from Harrow's point of view, it will enable the Council bring forward proposals on the un-designated portion of the Depot site to improve, enhance or intensify depot functions and uses without these being considered as a departure from the West London Waste Plan.

It also means that no further site assessments are required prior to the Pre-Submission Consultation document being published for consultation.

#### **Options considered**

If Cabinet chooses not to recommend the revised West London Waste Plan: Pre-Submission Consultation document to full Council for further consultation this will delay adoption of the final Plan and impede progress on the Local Plans of the six west London boroughs. It would also affect their ability to determine planning applications for waste facilities in their areas using the latest policy framework and supporting specialist evidence on waste issues.

#### **Next Steps**

The other five West London boroughs are all in the process of securing the requisite formal approvals to ensure that the approved versions of the Pre-Submission Consultation document are identical in order to go out on public consultation. Once all six boroughs have approved the revised document, the remaining timetable for the preparation of the Plan will involve:

- An eight-week public consultation on the Pre-Submission Consultation document to be held across the six boroughs during July and August 2012. This has been extended beyond the six-week period originally approved to allow for this being the summer holiday period.
- b) The consultation responses will then be assessed and any further evidence base research undertaken before officers report back to the Cabinet and full Council on the Proposed Submission stage consultation

and seek Members' approval to submit the draft WLWP with any further proposed changes to the Secretary of State for formal examination.

Officers anticipate that an Examination in Public will be held during the spring of 2013 and that the WLWP will be adopted by the six boroughs as part of their respective Local Plans during autumn 2013.

#### Implications of the Recommendation

#### Legal comments

The Council has power under the Planning and Compulsory Purchase Act 2004 to prepare a joint local development document with other boroughs.

When adopted, the WLWP will constitute part of the Local Plan for each of the participating borough and will be taken into account when deciding planning applications for waste facilities in each of the respective boroughs.

As part of the legal requirements for the preparation and consultation on the WLWP, each of the participating authorities is required to consult with specific and general consultation bodies set out in the Town and Country Planning (Local Planning) (England) Regulations 2012. A failure to comply with the statutory requirements may result in the WLWP being found 'unsound' at the examination in public.

#### **Financial Implications**

The cost of preparing and consulting on the WLWP reflects the commitment made by the six West London boroughs to deliver this Plan and the appointment of consultants to assist in this process and take it through an examination in public.

Costs associated with publication and consultation on the WLWP Pre-Submission document will be met from existing budgets. However, delays to progressing the Plan might result in the Council (and its West London Waste Authority partners) being subject to a number of additional expenses. These include costs in terms of consultant and project management fees but more significantly, costs associated with landfill taxes (stemming from the EU Landfill Directive) imposed where authorities exceed year on year reducing allowances by continuing to dispose of substantial quantities of waste to landfill. The cost of subsequent work required to progress the document to adoption is incorporated in the LDF team budget for 2012/13.

#### **Performance Issues**

The WLWP will deal with municipal waste and commercial and industrial waste in accordance with the London Plan.

It will help WLWA and the six councils reduce the amount of waste sent to landfill and improve the amount of waste reused, recycled and composted by ensuring provision is made for a range of new waste management facilities that are required to treat waste generated within west London higher up the waste hierarchy (reduce-reuse-recycle-recovery and as a final option, landfill) Since 2004/05 the amount of household waste generated in Harrow has decreased year upon year from 105,331 tonnes to 88,326 tonnes in 2010/11. Harrow has increased the amount it recycles and composts significantly in recent years, achieving 50% in 2010/11 (the highest rate in London). The remaining 50% continues to go to landfill.

As set out in the Annual Monitoring Report, there have been no new waste management facilities provided in the borough since monitoring commenced in 2004. Unfortunately, this seems to be a common theme across most west London boroughs. Without the WLWP, and allocating sites for waste management provision, it is difficult to see how Harrow and the other five boroughs will be able to substantially improve their performance against any the above targets.

#### **Environmental Impact**

Does the proposal comply with all relevant environmental legislation? Yes

The draft WLWP has been the subject of a comprehensive Sustainability Appraisal, incorporating the requirements of Strategic Environmental Assessment, in compliance with the regulatory requirements for preparing development plan documents. The Sustainability Appraisal will be published for public consultation alongside the WLWP Pre-Submission Consultation document.

#### **Risk Management Implications**

Risk included on Directorate risk register? Yes

Potential Risks	Commentary	Mitigation Measures
Compliance with legislation	To meet the test of 'soundness' of DPDs are required to comply with the legal requirements for preparing and consulting on DPDs under the Planning and Compulsory Purchase Act.	Officers will seek to ensure compliance with the relevant legislative requirements, including the undertaking of Sustainability Appraisal, Equalities Impact Assessment and requirements for consultation. A log is to be maintained that chronicles legal compliance as the DPD progresses towards examination and adoption.
Changes to the plan- making system	The Localism Act 2011 amends both the Planning Act 2008 and the Planning and Compulsory Purchase Act 2004. A new National Planning Policy Framework is also currently the subject of consultation. The process for preparing, and content of, Development Plan Documents will need to be	Officers will continue to keep abreast of proposals and consultation on changes to the planning legislation and national planning policy. Where potential issues arise, these will be reported to the Member Steering Group for the WLWP and to Harrow's LDF Panel to consider and advise on a way forward.

Separate risk register in place? Yes

	consistent with these	
	changes.	
Robust	In preparing the WLWP, the	The DPD includes a contingency
evidence	boroughs have sought to	that would allow for one or even
	apply a robust methodology	two allocated sites not to come
	to the assessment of	forward. It also includes
	existing and potential waste	monitoring requirements that
	sites. However, there is a	would necessarily trigger an
	degree of professional	analysis and potential review of
	judgment required, both in	the Plan should the monitoring
	the assessment and in the	indicate an undersupply of sites or
	interpretation of the	capacity.
	outcomes that may give rise	
	to potential 'soundness'	
	concerns. It addition, the	
	assessments represent a	
	snapshot in time, and	
	therefore the conclusions	
	drawn now may not stand	
	for the full life of the Plan.	
Politically	Waste management is	Officers will need to work with
sensitivity	typically a sensitive topic,	Members to educate residents
	given its has a high profile	and other key stakeholders about
	with residents as being a	the need for the Council to take a
	key function of Council's,	pro-active and positive approach
	and one that can result in	to the management of Harrow's
	adverse environmental and	waste arisings. In particular, the
	amenity issues. Waste	implications of the EU Landfill
	management facilities are	Directive which requires waste to
	perceived by most to be a	be diverted from landfill. Failure to
	'bad neighbour' and	do so will result in significant
	therefore proposals, or even	financial penalties for the Council.
	the allocation of sites for	There is also a social and
	waste management, can	environmental requirement that
	draw significant resistance.	waste be managed in the area in
		which it is generated (ie self-
		sufficiency), which is driving the
		change in London that we treat
		London's waste in London rather
		than transfer it out of London for
		disposal.

The WLWP is being prepared jointly. A memorandum of understanding has therefore been signed by six West London boroughs, which details the working arrangements. However, careful planning will be necessary to ensure that individual borough issues and concerns, political sensitivities, community involvement and decisions making processes are consistent to ensure the Plan is developed in accordance with the revised LDS timeframe.

#### **Equalities implications**

Was an Equality Impact Assessment carried out? Yes

Equalities Impact Assessment (EqIA) for DPDs is an iterative process. An equalities impact assessment has been undertaken of the Proposed Pre-Submission Consultation document. This builds on the previous EqIA

prepared for the WLWP Proposed Sites and Policies Consultation document, and will be published along side publication of the Plan.

#### **Corporate Priorities**

The completion of key LDF documents, including the WLWP, is a corporate priority for Place Shaping that will enable the Council to better manage waste in the Borough and avoid costs associated with the current practice of exporting the majority of our waste for disposal to landfill. It will assist in the delivery of other corporate priorities relevant to keeping neighbourhoods clean, green and safe.

## **Section 3 - Statutory Officer Clearance**

Name: Kanta Hirani Date: 28 May 2012	X	on behalf of the* Chief Financial Officer
Name: Abiodun Kolawole Date: 24 May 2012	X	on behalf of the* Monitoring Officer

# Section 4 - Contact Details and Background Papers

- Contact: Matthew Paterson, Senior Professional Policy Planning, Development and Enterprise, phone 02087366082
- Background Papers: WLWP Issues and Options Consultation Document (January 2009); Sustainability Appraisal of the WLWP Proposed Sites and Policies Consultation Document (February 2011); Equalities Impact Assessment; LDF Panel Report of 8 December 2011; Cabinet Report of 15 December 2011; West London Waste Plan Proposed Sites and Policies Consultation document, February 2011 West London Waste Plan Proposed Sites and Policies Consultation: Consultation Responses -CAG Consultants, July 2011Site Deliverability Assessment (September 2011)